

Announcements

1) Scholarships - application
deadline is tomorrow
(Dept. website)

2) New HW on C Tools,
due Thursday

Chapter 4

Continuity

Not all functions are nice! How bad

can the set of discontinuities of a function be?

Can the discontinuities be "arbitrary"?

Section 4.2

Limits for functions

You've seen this before but...

now we can define functions

on odd subsets of the real

numbers - like the Cantor set!

Definition: (limit)

Recall: If $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, then if $x \in S$, either $x \in S'$ or x is an isolated point of S (but not both).

If $x \in S'$, then if $f: S \cup S' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

we say f has a **limit** at x if

$\exists L \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$

such that

when

$$\begin{aligned} |f(y) - L| &< \varepsilon \\ 0 < |x - y| &< \delta \end{aligned}$$

Remember!

$x = y$ is unimportant

for the limit -

we just care about
what happens **close**
to x .

Example: (x , piecewise)

$$\text{Let } f(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x = 0 \\ x, & x \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

Then what should be
the limit of f at $x=0$?

$$L = 0.$$

If we think $L=0$, then
we have to show

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$$

Such that

$$|f(x)| < \varepsilon \text{ when}$$

$$0 < \underbrace{|x-0|}_{=|x|} < \delta \quad (0 < |x| < \delta).$$

When $x \neq 0$, $f(x) = x$.

So

We want, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, a $\delta > 0$ such that

$|x| < \varepsilon$ when

$0 < |x| < \delta$.

$$\delta = \varepsilon$$

Proposition: (sequential)

Let $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and let $f: \overline{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Then if $x \in S'$, the limit
of f at x is equal to L

if and only if for every
sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq S$,

$x_n \neq x$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = L$ whenever

$x_n \rightarrow x$.

proof:

\Rightarrow Suppose the limit of f at x is equal to L . Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be any sequence in S ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x, \quad x_n \neq x$$

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Show: $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = L$, so $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$,

$\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$ with

$$|f(x_n) - L| < \epsilon$$

for all $n \geq N$.

But $\exists \delta > 0$ such that

$|f(y) - L| < \varepsilon$ whenever

$$|y - x| < \delta.$$

Then since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$,

choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ so that

$$|x_n - x| < \delta \text{ for all } n \geq N.$$

Automatically, we have

$$|f(x_n) - L| < \varepsilon.$$

⇐ Suppose for all sequences
 $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq S$, $x_n \neq x$,

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$, then

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = L$,

By contradiction suppose

the limit of f at x is
not L .

This means $\exists \varepsilon > 0$ such
that 'no $\delta > 0$ works'.

So $\forall \delta > 0$, $\exists y$
with $0 < |x - y| < \delta$, but

$$|f(y) - L| \geq \varepsilon.$$

Fix this $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose

a sequence of points $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$

with $0 < |x - x_n| < \frac{1}{n}$ and

such that $|f(x_n) - L| \geq \varepsilon$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$, $x_n \neq x$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, so by

our assumption, $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}$

with $|f(x_n) - L| < \varepsilon$

for all $n \geq N$, contradiction

since we supposed $|f(x_n) - L| \geq \varepsilon$

$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Therefore, the limit of f at x

is L



Notation:

If the limit of f
at x is equal
to L , write

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow x} f(y) = L$$

Example 2 (Dirichlet)

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in \mathbb{Q} \\ 0, & x \notin \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}$$

Then $\lim_{y \rightarrow x} f(x)$ does not exist for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$!

Density of rationals
and irrationals

If $x \in \mathbb{R}$, choose $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$
with $x_n \in \mathbb{Q}$, $x_n \neq x$,

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$. Then choose

$(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, $y_n \notin \mathbb{Q}$, $y_n \neq x$,

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = x$.

Then $f(x_n) = 1 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$

and $f(y_n) = 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$

So the sequential property will
not hold for any choice of L !